

Originally published December 15 2009

STUDY VERIFIES MAMMOGRAPHY SCREENINGS CAUSE CANCER

by Mike Adams, the Health Ranger, NaturalNews Editor

(NaturalNews) A new study presented on December 1 at the annual meeting of the *Radiological Society of North America* (RSNA) verified that annual mammography screenings may be responsible for causing breast cancer in women who are predisposed to the disease. Epidemiologist Marijke C. Jansen-van der Weide from the University Medical Center Groningen in the Netherlands suggests that doctors should be very cautious when screening younger women, especially those under age 30.

There are many conflicting reports about the benefits of [mammography](#) screenings, particularly among younger women below the age of 40. Because there is a high [risk](#) among women with genetic or familial predispositions to [breast cancer](#) when getting mammograms, Dr. Jansen-van der Weide and her research team are suggesting that these women get an alternative screening. Ultrasounds, MRIs, and heat [thermography](#) screenings are some alternatives that do not expose patients to radiation.

The study evaluated women in the high-risk group and determined that low-dose mammography radiation increased these women's risk of developing breast [cancer](#) by 150 percent. Women under 20 who have had at least five [mammograms](#) are 2.5 times more likely to develop breast cancer than high-risk women who have never undergone low-dose mammography screenings.

Study authors emphasized the fact that doctors should be cautious in administering mammograms to younger women, especially those with a family history of breast cancer. Moderate- to low-risk women were not evaluated in the study. Alternative screening methods were encouraged in order to reduce the risk of women in high-risk groups from being harmed by radioactive exposure.

Comments by Mike Adams, the Health Ranger

What this study really reveals is that **there is no reason for any women to ever receive a [mammogram](#) ever again.** Ultrasound and thermography should now be the new standard for breast cancer detection screenings, as they do not subject women to excess radiation.

This study also reveals that **mammograms are really "repeat [business machines](#)" for the [cancer industry](#).** When enough women are subjected to mammography, a windfall of future cancer patients is absolutely assured, and this translates into billions of dollars in profits for the businesses invested in cancer: Big Pharma, mammogram machine manufacturers, and so on.

In fact, mammograms represent the slickest marketing gimmick we've ever seen in modern medicine. It's a technology that *recruits new patients* by actually causing the disease it claims to "detect." No wonder all the cancer industry non-profit groups donate so much money to breast cancer screening -- it's the best way to keep their sponsors in business!

Just take a look at the Susan Komen for the Cure non-profit, and you'll see that a huge percentage of all the money they raise for "finding a cure" is really redirected to funding "free breast cancer screenings" that target poor women. The purpose of this whole scheme is to herd more women into extremely profitable (and extremely dangerous) cancer treatment cancers that generate billions for the cancer industry.

Sources for this story include:

http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_relea...